

Please read the Introduction – See [Introduction](#).

Section 30: Functions and Negotiations of FOI Bodies

Section	Information Required
30(1)(a)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please identify the particular <u>function</u> specified in section 30(1)(a) the effectiveness of which you expect to be prejudiced, or the relevant <u>procedures / methods</u> used for its conduct which you expect to be prejudiced. • Describe the prejudice or harm expected. • Explain <u>how</u> the release of the particular record is expected to result in the harm identified. • Explain why you consider that the harm identified could reasonably be expected to occur. • See also section 30(2).
30(1)(b)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please identify the function relating to management the performance of which you expect to be adversely affected • Describe the adverse effect on the performance of that function which you expect to arise and explain why you expect that adverse effect to be significant. • Explain <u>how</u> the release of the particular record is expected to result in the harm identified. • Explain why you consider that the harm identified could reasonably be expected to occur. • See also section 30(2).
30(1)(c)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please identify and provide details of the <u>negotiations</u> which are being, were or are to be, carried on • Confirm whether such negotiations are, were or will be on behalf of the Government or on behalf of an FOI body. And, if on behalf of an FOI body, please identify the FOI body concerned. • Provide details of the positions etc. (described in section 30(1)(c)) which you expect to be disclosed by granting access to the record • If not evident from the record, explain how the disclosure of such positions etc could reasonably be expected to occur • See also section 30(2)
30(2)	<p>Please provide details of the public interest factors in favour of release of the record and the public interest factors against release of the record which were considered. Explain why you concluded that, on balance, the public interest would not be better served by granting this request.</p>